
Formal Written Correspondence to the Board [#943]

1 message

LVJUSD <no-reply@wufoo.com>

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 3:05 PM

Reply-To: no-reply@wufoo.com

To: lrice@lvjUSD.org, kbowers@lvjUSD.org, cbuenotrustee@lvjUSD.org, awhitetrustee@lvjUSD.org, eprussotrustee@lvjUSD.org, yguzmantrustee@lvjUSD.org, kwangtrustee@lvjUSD.org

Name *

Brian Steele

Address *



Email *

Subject *

Oppose Mandates

Comments *

Dear Livermore Unified School District Board,

I oppose vaccine mandates for all students, and staff that are faced with a vaccinate or lose their job proposition. Mandating an experimental vaccine that has been in human/clinical trials for only 2 years and is supported for "emergency use only" is a very personal choice as the host is the only body subject to the risk vs reward trade off of the vaccine vs. virus side effects.

It's a personal choice because getting the vaccine and subsequent booster shots or not, has no impact on the transmission or contraction of the virus, having the vaccine does not protect anyone from exposure, it only protects the host from potential hospitalization and could lower the risks of serious side effects and/or death. The fact is, we're living with the virus, 100% of the population will get the virus, it's not if, it's when, and the least affected segment of the population are school aged kids. I understand and empathize with children and adults that are immunocompromised, and because these individuals are at a higher risk of hospitalization and/or death, they should decide how best to protect themselves. Mandate or not, if someone feels the need and sees a greater benefit of getting an experimental vaccine, get it, if it helps protect them physically or mentally. But forcing the other 99% of the students to get a vaccine that offers no protection to the compromised is futile. A vaccinated person can transmit and contract the virus, so there they offer no protection to anyone else around them.

Does the Board have full knowledge of the long-term side effects and possible consequences of an experimental technology that has been in a human trial stage for only 2 years? The drug manufacturers don't and are indemnified from any financial and civil liability arising from a lawsuit. Since the Board is taking such a confident stance mandating an FDA-emergency use only drug, then perhaps astute attorneys will find a path to hold the District and its board members personally financially liable for any vaccine side effects or consequences (mental, physical, etc.) for violating the individuals informed consent to such experimental technology.

Please explain in detail, the District's policy of segregating vaccinated vs. unvaccinated children who are exposed? If 6 kids were exposed, and 3 were vaccinated and 3 weren't, yet all can contract and transmit the virus to others, why is the District sending only the unvaccinated home for a week and not the other exposed and transmittable vaccinated

students? The policy doesn't match science, it appears motivated to keep student bodies in class for funding. If lowering transmissibility was your motive, you would be sending all exposed individuals home regardless of vaccination status.

In the end, it's a parents choice on how best to protect their own children, and this trust has worked for many generations before us and will continue for generations after us. I am sure you have seen a drop in registration and will continue to do so unless you change course and avoid inserting any personal or political bias and really listen to parents.