

PUBLIC NOTICE

Notice to Chris Van Schaack, Kelly Bowers, Melissa Theide, Geoff Warner, Craig Bueno, Yanira Guzman, Emily Prusso, Kristie Wang, and Anne White, District Staff and Members of the Livermore Community

Notice is hereby given that the Livermore School District has officially been served a Notice of Demand regarding failure to comply with the Child Endangerment Law California Penal Code §273a(a)&273d, California Education Code § 49005.8(3), 51101(a)(7), and 66252(a)(c)(d), California Constitution's Declaration of Rights Article 1, SEC. 7(a), the Constitution of the United States 4th, 5th and 14th Amendment, 42 U.S. Code § 3631 and the Nuremberg Code¹. Of particular importance is the potential breach of the Child Endangerment Law California Penal Code 273a(a) and § 273d that feasibly will harm children at this school. According to the **Child Endangerment Law**, they will be held personally liable when they willfully subject, permit or cause children to be placed in a situation where their health is endangered, and genetic integrity compromised. This shall be punished as a felony or misdemeanor by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding one year, or in the state prison for two, four, or six years.

This School board has been served notice and was directed to immediately halt all COVID Vaccine, COVID Vaccines, COVID Vaccinations, COVID Passports, COVID tests, COVID Social Control methods such as masking, lockdowns, quarantines, and/or any surveillance, harassment, detention, detention centers, arrests, forced confinement, coercion, forced Independent Study or District Homeschool, or any other measures, henceforth known as "COVID measures" in your Jurisdiction. Their failure to exercise statutorily mandated compliance has hereby been served.

We invoke the right to a safe school environment for all students devoid of toxic COVID measures while a child is in school. Actively employing hazardous administrative procedures around the COVID measures include, but are not limited to, COVID Vaccine coercion, incentivized vaccines, acquisition, administration, distribution, insertion or infusion into human bodies, modification, placement, operations, tracking and use, on school properties is in breach of the aforementioned laws.

Please be advised that any and all persons in their jurisdiction are guaranteed Safe Conduct and Health Safety under the protection of the Law. Any attempts by the school board, in contravention of the above incontrovertible mandates of Local, State, Federal and International Law, will be in direct violation of the School Board's statutorily derived authority to regulate public school districts as it pertains to all COVID measures thereby preventing students from exercising their fundamental right to schooling and daily life functions free from control.

California Education Code, Article 1 §201, all pupils have the right to participate fully in the educational process, **free from discrimination and harassment** on school grounds directed at an individual on the basis of personal characteristics or status that creates a hostile environment and jeopardizes equal educational opportunity as guaranteed by the California Constitution and the United States. In accordance with California Education Code, Article 3 §220 **"No person shall be subjected to discrimination as set forth in Penal Code §422.55"**.

Peer reviewed scientific studies prove harm results due to exposures to all kinds of COVID measures including those used in a school environment. The health of students is a constitutional right and parental rights may not be usurped as per the California Constitution's Declaration of rights Article 1, SEC. 7(a) which states: "maximizing the educational opportunities and protecting the health and safety of all public-school pupils, enhancing the ability of parents to participate in the educational process, preserving harmony and tranquility in this State and its public schools". The presence of the COVID measures in schools, is in direct violation of California Education Code Sec. 51101(a)(7) which mandates that it is a parent's decision as to what is best for their child's school environment. Federal Emergency Use Authorization Law Requires a Right to Refuse EUA Products and Experimental Medical Trials Involving Children Require Parental Consent.

If a school, or agent of the school, enforces mask wearing and it adversely impacts a child in any way, including but not limited to psychological damage, physical harm, impeding academic progress, then the school could be civilly liable by law². Schools are not allowed to "use a physical restraint technique that obstructs a pupil's respiratory airway" in the first place by law³.

It has come to our attention that mandated reporters at this institution have been engaging in severe or pervasive physical and/or verbal acts or conduct directed towards pupils that has or can be reasonably predicted to have the effect of one or more of the following:

- (A) Placing pupils in fear of harm to their person or property.
- (B) Causing pupils to experience a substantially detrimental effect on their physical or mental health.
- (C) Causing pupils to experience substantial interference with their academic performance.
- (D) Causing pupils to experience substantial interference with their ability to participate in or benefit from the services, activities, or privileges provided by a school.

This is defined as "**bullying**" by Section 48900(r)(1) of the Education Code and will not be tolerated: especially by those charged by the law to report these incidents to the appropriate local law enforcement for investigation. The willful harming or injuring of a child or the endangering of the person or health of a child and unlawful corporal punishment or injury by mandated reporters as defined within the Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act shall be investigated by the appropriate local law enforcement agency as specified in Section 11165.9 against a school employee or other person and shall transmit a substantiated report, as defined in Section 11165.12, of that investigation to the governing board of the appropriate school district or county office of education. A substantiated report received by a governing board of a school district or county office of education shall be subject to the provisions of Section 44031 of the Education Code.

You should also be aware that Cal Ed Code § 66252(a)(c)(d) state "(a) All students have the right to participate fully in the educational process, free from discrimination and harassment. (c) Harassment on school grounds directed at an individual on the basis of personal characteristics or status creates a hostile environment and jeopardizes equal educational opportunity as guaranteed by the California Constitution and the United States Constitution. (d) There is an urgent need to prevent and respond to acts of hate violence and bias-related incidents that are occurring at an increasing rate in California's public schools." The November 8, 2021 ruling on the lawsuit brought by Let Them Breathe and Reopen California Schools against the California Department of Public Health and Governor Newsom over California's masking, quarantining and testing protocols sheds light on this subject. San Diego Superior Court Judge Cynthia Freeland's ruling states, "There simply is no language in the Guidance, however, that requires, directs, or otherwise authorizes schools to force students into an independent study program." Judge Freeland also stated that quarantine and testing guidance for students was only a recommendation which school districts remain free to implement or not.

In summary, the school district is now in breach of the Child Endangerment Law California Penal Code § 273a(a) and § 273d, California Education Code § 51101(a)(7) and § 66252(a)(c)(d), California Constitution's Declaration of Rights Article 1, SEC. 7(a), the Constitution of the United States 4th, 5th, and 14th Amendment, 42 U.S. Code § 3631, and the Nuremberg Code¹. The District Administration and Board Members are personally liable under the Law and must commit the school district to compliance to International, Federal, State and Local law and prove procedural compliance for any past, current and future COVID Vaccine deployment of all kinds. Any administrative procedures related to the COVID measures on school facilities will be immediately considered Child Endangerment and an infringement on parental rights, and contravention of Federal and state law, as set forth, herein.

Livermore School District has had all the legal capabilities to make lawful and legal decisions to eliminate COVID mandates from the schools in its jurisdiction⁴. Its inaction to eliminate this offending toxin is deemed to be complicit in illegal activities subject to assault and Child Endangerment. I accept their oath of office to protect the children. Livermore Union School District is in default and has been put on notice⁵.

¹ <https://history.nih.gov/display/history/Nuremberg+Code>

² *Shannon v. Central Contra Costa Union School Dist.*, 133 Cal. App. 124, 129, 23 P.2d 760, 771 ("The question of the negligence of the defendants (School District) in the present case should therefore be determined with due regard to all the surrounding circumstances including the age, mentality and childish impulses of the injured boy.")

³ Cal Ed Code § 49005.8(3)

⁴ <https://history.nih.gov/display/history/Nuremberg+Code>

⁵ Cal Ed Code § 35160 – 35160.2; *American Civil Rights Foundation v. Berkeley Unified School Dist.*, 172 Cal. App. 4th 207, 216

⁶ For more information please go to www.wow33.org

Marilyn M. Singleton, MD
409 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Ste 845
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-6853

(510) 421-5800

July 19, 2021

To Whom It May Concern:

I would like to provide some scientific research on the efficacy of masks in general and the lack of support for mandating masks in children.

Data now suggests that children have extremely low risk of developing COVID-19. They naturally have the capability of evading the SARS-CoV-2 virus because of strong immune systems¹ and the fewer ACE2 receptor proteins (to which the SARS-CoV-2 virus attaches) in their nostrils.^{2,3}

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported their findings from North Carolina and Wisconsin schools that in school transmission was "very rare."^{4,5} According to research in the scientific journal, *Nature*, the risk of children dying from COVID-19 is "incredibly rare."⁶ Moreover, children are at low risk of spreading the SARS-CoV-2 virus to their contacts.^{7,8,9}

There are numerous studies before and after the COVID outbreak that show the ineffectiveness of cloth and surgical masks for viruses of 0.125 microns (the size of SARS-CoV-2 and influenza viruses).^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25}

Recently, the marine recruit study published in the *New England Journal of Medicine* found SARS-CoV-2 transmission despite the recruits wearing double layered masks in a strictly monitored environment.²⁶ The recent randomized controlled Danish study published in the *Annals of Internal Medicine* assessed mask use outside the home.²⁷ The sample included a total of 3,030 participants who were assigned randomly to wear masks, and 2,994 who were told to not wear masks (i.e., the control arm). The researchers reported that 4,862 persons completed the study. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 occurred in 42 participants who wore masks (1.8%) while 53 participants in the control group developed infection (2.1%). The between-group difference was -0.3 percentage point in favor of mask-use (95% CI, -1.2 to 0.4 percentage point; p = 0.38). The authors concluded that there was no statistically or clinically significant impact of mask-use in regard to the rate of infection with SARS-CoV-2.

Additionally, Professor Yvonne Cossart of the Department of Infectious Diseases at the University of Sydney noted that after about 20 minutes the masks ceased to function due to saturation with moisture from the wearer's breath.²⁸

Further, evidence is emerging that masks can be harmful, particularly to children.^{29,30,31,32,33} Self-contamination through repeated use and improper removal is likely as a contaminated cloth mask may transfer pathogen from the mask to the bare hands of the wearer.³⁴ The February 2021 CDC mask study reported that masking may impede breathing.³⁵

Marilyn M. Singleton, MD

409 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Ste 845
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-6853

(510) 421-5800


This is likely to bring on anxiety in children. Additionally, masks may lower the wearer's oxygen saturation.³⁶ Brain cells are extremely sensitive to lack of oxygen thus brain function could be adversely affected.^{37,38}

Early on in the pandemic, psychologists raised concerns about psychological damage associated with masking.³⁹ Child development is likely hindered by the use of masks as children rely heavily on facial cues.^{40,41} Of course these issues would be exacerbated in children with special needs, particularly those within the autism spectrum.⁴² Finally, anxiety surrounding masking increases the vulnerability of the immune system.⁴³

In short, children do not easily acquire the SARS-CoV-2 virus or spread it to others. In the rare cases where a child contracts COVID-19, the likelihood of severe illness or death is near zero. Masks have not been shown to be efficacious in preventing transmission of influenza and SARS-CoV-2 viruses. Asymptomatic spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus is rare and indeed a study of 10 million people found no spread.⁴⁴ Worse yet, masking may do harm to children. All data considered, and acknowledging that zero risk is unattainable, the risks outweigh the benefits of forcing children to wear masks.

Rather than masking, children can simply be required to stay home if they are sick. All persons should wash their hands and use good respiratory etiquette (cough and sneeze into one's elbow).

Sincerely,


Marilyn M. Singleton, MD

¹ <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-03496-7>

² <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2766522>

³ <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2766522>

⁴ <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2775875?resultClick=1>

⁵ https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7004e3.htm?s_cid=mm7004e3_w

⁶ <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-021-01897-w>

⁷ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32596514/>

⁸ <https://brighterworld.mcmaster.ca/articles/reviews-find-children-not-major-source-of-covid-19-but-family-stress-is-high/>

⁹ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32489179/>

¹⁰ <https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/M20-6817>

¹¹ <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2029717>

¹² https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/5/19-0994_article

¹³ <https://www.cidrap.umn.edu/news-perspective/2020/04/commentary-masks-all-covid-19-notbased-sound-data>

¹⁴ <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM2006372>

¹⁵ <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM2006372>

Marilyn M. Singleton, MD

409 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Ste 845
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-6853

(510) 421-5800


This is likely to bring on anxiety in children. Additionally, masks may lower the wearer's oxygen saturation.³⁶ Brain cells are extremely sensitive to lack of oxygen thus brain function could be adversely affected.^{37,38}

Early on in the pandemic, psychologists raised concerns about psychological damage associated with masking.³⁹ Child development is likely hindered by the use of masks as children rely heavily on facial cues.^{40,41} Of course these issues would be exacerbated in children with special needs, particularly those within the autism spectrum.⁴² Finally, anxiety surrounding masking increases the vulnerability of the immune system.⁴³

In short, children do not easily acquire the SARS-CoV-2 virus or spread it to others. In the rare cases where a child contracts COVID-19, the likelihood of severe illness or death is near zero. Masks have not been shown to be efficacious in preventing transmission of influenza and SARS-CoV-2 viruses. Asymptomatic spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus is rare and indeed a study of 10 million people found no spread.⁴⁴ Worse yet, masking may do harm to children. All data considered, and acknowledging that zero risk is unattainable, the risks outweigh the benefits of forcing children to wear masks.

Rather than masking, children can simply be required to stay home if they are sick. All persons should wash their hands and use good respiratory etiquette (cough and sneeze into one's elbow).

Sincerely,


Marilyn M. Singleton, MD

¹ <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-03496-7>

² <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2766522>

³ <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2766522>

⁴ <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2775875?resultClick=1>

⁵ https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7004e3.htm?s_cid=mm7004e3_w

⁶ <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-021-01897-w>

⁷ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32596514/>

⁸ <https://brighterworld.mcmaster.ca/articles/reviews-find-children-not-major-source-of-covid-19-but-family-stress-is-high/>

⁹ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32489179/>

¹⁰ <https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/M20-6817>

¹¹ <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2029717>

¹² https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/5/19-0994_article

¹³ <https://www.cidrap.umn.edu/news-perspective/2020/04/commentary-masks-all-covid-19-notbased-sound-data>

¹⁴ <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp2006372>

¹⁵ <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp2006372>

Marilyn M. Singleton, MD

409 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Ste 845
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-6853

(510) 421-5800

-
- ¹⁶ <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/pdfs/mm6936a5-H.pdf>
- ¹⁷ <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.01.20088260v1.full.pdf>
- ¹⁸ [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099\(20\)30085-3/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099(20)30085-3/fulltext)
- ¹⁹ https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/329438/9789241516839_eng.pdf?ua=1
- ²⁰ https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7007e1.htm?s_cid=mm7007e1_w
- ²¹ <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.01.20049528v1>
- ²² <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMc2026670>
- ²³ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2493952/pdf/annrscse01509-0009.pdf>
- ²⁴ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4868614/>
- ²⁵ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22188875/>
- ²⁶ <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEIMoa2029717>
- ²⁷ <https://www.aepjournals.org/doi/10.7326/M20-6817>
- ²⁸ <https://www.smh.com.au/national/farce-mask-its-safe-for-only-20-minutes-20200427-gdgnyo.html>
- ²⁹ https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7007e1.htm?s_cid=mm7007e1_w
- ³⁰ <https://engineering.stanford.edu/magazine/article/covid-19-prompts-team-engineers-rethink-humble-face-mask>
- ³¹ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18500410/>
- ³² <https://www.bmj.com/content/360/bmj.m2003>
- ³³ <https://headachejournal.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/head.13811>
- ³⁴ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4420971/>
- ³⁵ https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7007e1.htm?s_cid=mm7007e1_w
- ³⁶ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18500410/>
- ³⁷ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25206597/>
- ³⁸ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29988368/>
- ³⁹ <https://www.cugmhp.org/five-on-friday-posts/whv-a-mask-is-not-just-a-mask/>
- ⁴⁰ <https://www.wpr.org/psychologist-calls-clear-masks-caregivers-aid-child-development>
- ⁴¹ <https://www.nvtimes.com/2020/09/14/well/family/Masks-child-development.html>
- ⁴² <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20646129/>
- ⁴³ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15250815/>
- ⁴⁴ <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-020-19802-w>

Marilyn M. Singleton, MD

409 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Ste 845
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-6853

(510) 421-5800

-
- ¹⁶ <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/pdfs/mm6936a5-H.pdf>
 - ¹⁷ <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.01.20088260v1.full.pdf>
 - ¹⁸ [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099\(20\)30985-3/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099(20)30985-3/fulltext)
 - ¹⁹ <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/329438/9789241516839-eng.pdf?ua=1>
 - ²⁰ https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7007e1.htm?s_cid=mm7007e1_w
 - ²¹ <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.01.20049528v1>
 - ²² <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMc2026670>
 - ²³ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2493952/pdf/annrcse01509-0009.pdf>
 - ²⁴ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4868614/>
 - ²⁵ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22188875/>
 - ²⁶ <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2029717>
 - ²⁷ <https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/M20-6817>
 - ²⁸ <https://www.smh.com.au/national/farce-mask-its-safe-for-only-20-minutes-20030427-gdgnyo.html>
 - ²⁹ https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7007e1.htm?s_cid=mm7007e1_w
 - ³⁰ <https://engineering.stanford.edu/magazine/article/covid-19-prompts-team-engineers-rethink-humble-face-mask>
 - ³¹ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18500410/>
 - ³² <https://www.bmj.com/content/369/bmj.m2003>
 - ³³ <https://headachejournal.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/head.13811>
 - ³⁴ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4420971/>
 - ³⁵ https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7007e1.htm?s_cid=mm7007e1_w
 - ³⁶ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18500410/>
 - ³⁷ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25206597/>
 - ³⁸ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29988368/>
 - ³⁹ <https://www.cugmhp.org/five-on-friday-posts/why-a-mask-is-not-just-a-mask/>
 - ⁴⁰ <https://www.wpr.org/psychologist-calls-clear-masks-caregivers-aid-child-development>
 - ⁴¹ <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/09/14/well/family/Masks-child-development.html>
 - ⁴² <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20646129/>
 - ⁴³ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15250815/>
 - ⁴⁴ <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-020-19802-w>

SOTN: Alternative News, Analysis & Commentary


Revealing the True State of the Nation

Brain Damage From Masks CANNOT BE REVERSED

Posted on [October 12, 2020](#) by [State of the Nation](#)

Like

Covid-19 masks are a crime against Humanity and child abuse



Health & Money News

The following is a [transcript of the highlights](#) (by Henna Maria) from Dr. Margarite Griesz-Brisson's recent extremely pressing video message, that was translated on-air from German into English by [Claudia Stauber](#). Video at the end of the transcript.

Dr. Margarite Griesz-Brisson MD, PhD is a Consultant Neurologist and Neurophysiologist with a PhD in Pharmacology, with special interest in neurotoxicology, environmental medicine, neuroregeneration and neuroplasticity. This is what she has to say about masks and their effects on our brains:

"The rebreathing of our exhaled air will without a doubt create oxygen deficiency and a flooding of carbon dioxide. We know that the human brain is very sensitive to oxygen deprivation. There are nerve cells for example in the

1/17/22, 4:30 PM

Brain Damage From Masks CANNOT BE REVERSED | SOTN: Alternative News, Analysis & Commentary

hippocampus, that can't be longer than 3 minutes without oxygen – they cannot survive. The acute warning symptoms are headaches, drowsiness, dizziness, issues in concentration, slowing down of the reaction time – reactions of the cognitive system.

However, when you have chronic oxygen deprivation, all of those symptoms disappear, because you get used to it. But your efficiency will remain impaired and the undersupply of oxygen in your brain continues to progress.

We know that neurodegenerative diseases take years to decades to develop. If today you forget your phone number, the breakdown in your brain would have already started 20 or 30 years ago.

While you're thinking, that you have gotten used to wearing your mask and rebreathing your own exhaled air, the degenerative processes in your brain are getting amplified as your oxygen deprivation continues.

The second problem is that the nerve cells in your brain are unable to divide themselves normally. So in case our governments will generously allow us to get rid of the masks and go back to breathing oxygen freely again in a few months, the lost nerve cells will no longer be regenerated. What is gone is gone.

[..]I do not wear a mask, I need my brain to think. I want to have a clear head when I deal with my patients, and not be in a carbon dioxide induced anaesthesia.

[..]There is no unfounded medical exemption from face masks because oxygen deprivation is dangerous for every single brain. It must be the free decision of every human being whether they want to wear a mask that is absolutely ineffective to protect themselves from a virus.

For children and adolescents, masks are an absolute no-no. Children and adolescents have an extremely active and adaptive immune system and they need a constant interaction with the microbiome of the Earth. Their brain is also incredibly active, as it has so much to learn. The child's brain, or the youth's brain is thirsting for oxygen. The more metabolically active the organ is, the more oxygen it requires. In children and adolescents every organ is metabolically active.

To deprive a child's or an adolescent's brain from oxygen, or to restrict it in any way, is not only dangerous to their health, it is absolutely criminal. Oxygen deficiency inhibits the development of the brain, and the damage that has taken place as a result CANNOT be reversed.

1/17/22, 4:31 PM

Brain Damage From Masks CANNOT BE REVERSED | SOTN: Alternative News, Analysis & Commentary

Photo – Brain Damage from Lack of Oxygen

The child needs the brain to learn, and the brain needs oxygen to function. We don't need a clinical study for that. This is simple, indisputable physiology. Conscious and purposely induced oxygen deficiency is an absolutely deliberate health hazard, and an absolute medical contraindication.

An absolute medical contraindication in medicine means that this drug, this therapy, this method or measure should not be used – is not allowed to be used. To coerce an entire population to use an absolute medical contraindication by force, there must be definite and serious reasons for this, and the reasons must be presented to competent interdisciplinary and independent bodies to be verified and authorised.

When in ten years, dementia is going to increase exponentially, and the younger generations couldn't reach their god-given potential, it won't help to say "we didn't need the masks".

[..]How can a veterinarian, a software distributor, a business man, an electrical car manufacturer and a physicist decided on matters regarding the health of the entire population? Please dear colleagues, we all have to wake up.

I know how damaging oxygen deprivation is for the brain, cardiologist knows it for the heart, the pulmonologist knows it for the lungs. Oxygen deprivation damages every single organ.

Where are our health departments, our health insurance, our medical associations? It would have been their duty to be vehemently against the lockdown and to stop it and stop it from the very beginning.

1/17/22, 4:31 PM

Brain Damage From Masks CANNOT BE REVERSED | SOTN: Alternative News, Analysis & Commentary

Why do the medical boards give punishments to doctors who give people exemptions? Does the person or the doctor seriously have to prove that oxygen deprivation harms people? What kind of medicine are our doctors and medical associations representing?

Who is responsible for this crime? The ones who want to enforce it? The ones who let it happen and play along, or the ones who don't prevent it?[..]It's not about masks, it's not about viruses, it's certainly not about your health. It is about much much more. I am not participating. I am not afraid.
[..]You can notice, they are already taking our air to breathe.

The imperative of the hour is personal responsibility.

We are responsible for what we think, not the media. We are responsible for what we do, not our superiors. We are responsible for our health, not the World Health Organisation. And we are responsible for what happens in our country, not the government.”

<https://jamesfetzer.org/2020/10/brain-damage-from-masks-cannot-be-reversed/>

This entry was posted in [Uncategorized](#). Bookmark the [permalink](#).

SOTN: Alternative News, Analysis & Commentary

Proudly powered by [WordPress](#).



FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS – FACE MASKS

What's the big deal with wearing a mask?

It simply doesn't make sense for everyday people to wear a mask, especially children. Masks are for those who are ill, not healthy. Moreover, wearing one can create, not deter, illness. When individuals wear masks they end up touching their faces more frequently, which could lead to infection. They also rebreathe particles that their lungs have exhaled that are trapped in the mask. Additionally, the masks that everyday people wear impede oxygen flow and aren't porous enough to allow carbon dioxide to fully dissipate. All of these things decrease the body's immune response.

According to Dr. Eli Perencevich, an internist and infectious disease specialist, "The average healthy person does not need to have a mask, and they shouldn't be wearing masks. There's no evidence that wearing masks on healthy people will protect them. They wear them incorrectly, and they can increase the risk of infection because they're touching their face more often."

If I can wear a mask, why can't you wear a mask?

Many people assume that because they are able to wear a mask without issue, everyone should be able to wear a mask without issue. There are numerous reasons why someone might not be able to wear a mask. These include:

- * Anxiety or other psychological issues and disorders
- * Autism or other developmental disorders
- * Hearing impairments
- * Fear of racial profiling, violence or brutality by being a person of color or minority in a mask
- * PTSD from being a victim of a rape, sexual assault or another violent crime in which the perpetrator was wearing a face covering or forced the victim to wear a face covering
- * Respiratory conditions such as asthma and COPD
- * Sensory issues and disorders
- * Skin conditions (staph infections, yeast infections, contact dermatitis, etc.)

Moreover, just because someone with one of the above conditions wears a mask does not mean that everyone with that condition can do so. Every human has a different physiological and psychological makeup; what's true for one person is not true for all.

The CDC says to wear a mask, so why not just listen to them?

The CDC and other health authorities have flip-flopped their position on masks several times over the past few months. Although the agency is currently recommending mask-wearing in public, it has been unable to produce compelling evidence showing that masks worn by healthy individuals stop the spread of viral illness. Further, when you look at the science behind masks, wearing one to stop the spread of coronavirus makes no sense. Masks are incapable of stopping the lifecycle of a virus, and there is zero evidence to support the theory that masks worn by healthy people stop the spread of disease.



Thus, many people who choose not to wear a mask are choosing to exercise their personal judgment and not participate in a message of fear or false security.

Isn't it silly to complain about masks when people are dying of COVID-19?

It depends on how you view the body. If you view the body as brilliantly designed and understand that oxygen is one of the most important necessities for life, then it is in no way trivial or selfish to want to protect your breathing and your health.

Surgeons and Asians have worn masks for years. Why can't you?

Face masks are worn by surgeons because they're supposed to make wound infections after surgery less likely. According to Cochrane, a global independent network that produces systematic reviews and other research to inform health decision making, the purpose of face masks is two fold: 1) to prevent the passage of germs from the surgeon's nose and mouth into the patient's wound and 2) to protect the surgeon's face from sprays and splashes from the patient. Thus, the mask is something that is worn by a medical provider in a specialized setting for a specialized purpose.

Masks are worn in East Asia for cultural purposes and to limit exposure to air pollution. Nearly 2 million people are estimated to die in China each year from pollution-related illnesses. Asians who wear masks in the United States have largely done so as a cultural crossover.

Shouldn't your right to refuse a mask end where it puts me in danger?

There is no solid science demonstrating that unmasked individuals are a health detriment to others. Research shows that prolonged, close contact is needed for the transmission of coronavirus. It also shows that masks are incapable of stopping the spread of a virus.

According to the Center for Infectious Disease Research and Policy, there is no scientific evidence that masks are effective in reducing the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission. The center, which addresses public health preparedness and emerging infectious disease response, also said that the use of masks "may result in those wearing the masks to relax other distancing efforts because they have a sense of protection."

How does wearing a mask violate your rights?

By dictating that someone must wear a mask, it is forcing that person to choose an allopathic intervention for the purpose of protecting himself/herself and others. Forcing a person to take an intervention that is potentially harmful for the sake of others is unethical.

Forcing individuals to abide by measures that restrict their ability to move freely throughout society without discrimination is unconstitutional. Prohibiting people from entering or participating in society because they don't wear a mask also violates their constitutional rights.

Lastly, for those with deeply held religious beliefs, forced mask-wearing violates their ability to abide by natural law and follow their convictions to walk in faith, not fear.



Thus, many people who choose not to wear a mask are choosing to exercise their personal judgment and not participate in a message of fear or false security.

Isn't it silly to complain about masks when people are dying of COVID-19?

It depends on how you view the body. If you view the body as brilliantly designed and understand that oxygen is one of the most important necessities for life, then it is in no way trivial or selfish to want to protect your breathing and your health.

Surgeons and Asians have worn masks for years. Why can't you?

Face masks are worn by surgeons because they're supposed to make wound infections after surgery less likely. According to Cochrane, a global independent network that produces systematic reviews and other research to inform health decision-making, the purpose of face masks is two-fold: 1) to prevent the passage of germs from the surgeon's nose and mouth into the patient's wound and 2) to protect the surgeon's face from sprays and splashes from the patient. Thus, the mask is something that is worn by a medical provider in a specialized setting for a specialized purpose.

Masks are worn in East Asia for cultural purposes and to limit exposure to air pollution. Nearly 2 million people are estimated to die in China each year from pollution-related illnesses. Asians who wear masks in the United States have largely done so as a cultural crossover.

Shouldn't your right to refuse a mask end where it puts me in danger?

There is no solid science demonstrating that unmasked individuals are a health detriment to others. Research shows that prolonged, close contact is needed for the transmission of coronavirus. It also shows that masks are incapable of stopping the spread of a virus.

According to the Center for Infectious Disease Research and Policy, there is no scientific evidence that masks are effective in reducing the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission. The center, which addresses public health preparedness and emerging infectious disease response, also said that the use of masks "may result in those wearing the masks to relax other distancing efforts because they have a sense of protection."

How does wearing a mask violate your rights?

By dictating that someone must wear a mask, it is forcing that person to choose an allopathic intervention for the purpose of protecting himself/herself and others. Forcing a person to take an intervention that is potentially harmful for the sake of others is unethical.

Forcing individuals to abide by measures that restrict their ability to move freely throughout society without discrimination is unconstitutional. Prohibiting people from entering or participating in society because they don't wear a mask also violates their constitutional rights.

Lastly, for those with deeply held religious beliefs, forced mask-wearing violates their ability to abide by natural law and follow their convictions to walk in faith, not fear.